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## Forward-Looking Statements

## CANF()R

- The presentation and answers to questions today contain forward-looking statements which involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such statements. Factors that could have a material impact on any future oriented statements made herein include, but are not limited to: general economic, market and business conditions, product selling prices, raw material and operating costs, foreign exchange rates, interest rates, changes in law and public policy, the outcome of trade and labour disputes, and opportunities available to or pursued by the Company.
- For further details on these factors and our assumptions and applicable risks and uncertainties, please refer to Canfor Corporation's and Canfor Pulp Products Inc.'s Annual Reports for the year ended December 31, 2015 and Management's Discussion \& Analysis for the nine months ended September 30, 2016.


## Canfor Corporation

Q3 2016 Review: Items Impacting Earnings Comparability
CANF\&R

| (millions of dollars, except for per share amounts) | Q3 2016 |  |  |  | Q2 2016 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ |  | \$/Share |  | \$ |  | \$/Share |  |
| Shareholder net income, as reported | \$ | 50.9 | \$ | 0.38 | \$ | 36.0 | \$ | 0.27 |
| Non-operating / unusual items (after-tax, net of non-controlling interests) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gain on derivative financial instruments | \$ | (0.1) | \$ | - | \$ | (2.3) | \$ | (0.02) |
| Foreign exchange (gain) / loss on long term debt | \$ | 0.9 | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | (0.3) | \$ | - |
| Gain on legal settlement, net | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | (6.9) | \$ | (0.05) |
| Net impact of above items | \$ | 0.8 | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | (9.5) | \$ | (0.07) |
| Shareholder net income, as adjusted | \$ | 51.7 | \$ | 0.39 | \$ | 26.5 | \$ | 0.20 |

## Canfor Corporation

Sales and Operating Farnings Summary
CANF\&R

| (millions of dollars unless otherwise noted) | $\begin{gathered} 03 \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q2 } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Sales | \$ 1,101.2 | \$ 1,022.3 | \$ 78.9 |
| Operating income (loss) by segment: |  |  |  |
| Lumber | \$ 75.1 | \$ 71.5 | \$ 3.6 |
| Pulp and Paper | \$ 31.0 | \$ 5.2 | \$ 25.8 |
| Unallocated and Other | \$ (8.7) | \$ (7.1) | \$ (1.6) |
| Total operating income, as reported | \$ 97.4 | \$ 69.6 | \$ 27.8 |
| Average exchange rate (US\$ per C\$1.00) | \$ 0.766 | \$ 0.776 | \$ (0.010) |


| Operating income (loss) before amortization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lumber | \$ | 115.7 | \$ | 110.9 | \$ | 4.8 |
| Pulp and Paper | \$ | 50.0 | \$ | 22.1 | \$ | 27.9 |
| Unallocated and Other | \$ | (7.7) | \$ | (5.9) | \$ | (1.8) |
| Total operating income before amortization | \$ | 158.0 | \$ | 127.1 | \$ | 30.9 |

## Canfor Corporation <br> Lumber: Q3 2016 vs. Q2 2016

| (millions of dollars unless otherwise noted) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q3 } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q2 } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | Variance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales | \$ | 809.6 | \$ | 765.3 | \$ | 44.3 |
| Operating income before amortization | \$ | 115.7 | \$ | 110.9 | \$ | 4.8 |
| Adjusted operating income before amortization | \$ | 115.7 | \$ | 95.4 | \$ | 20.3 |
| Operating income | \$ | 75.1 | \$ | 71.5 | \$ | 3.6 |
| Adjusted operating income | \$ | 75.1 | \$ | 56.0 | \$ | 19.1 |
| Average SPF $2 \times 4$ \# $2 \&$ Btr lumber price in CDN\$ | \$ | 420 | \$ | 401 | \$ | 19 |
| Average SYP East $2 \times 4$ \#2 lumber price in US\$ | \$ | 414 | \$ | 437 | \$ | (23) |
| Production - SPF lumber (MMfbm) |  | 953 |  | 955 |  | (2) |
| Production - SYP lumber (MMfbm) |  | 341 |  | 335 |  | 6 |
| Shipments - Canfor-produced SPF lumber (MMfbm) |  | 990 |  | 996 |  | (6) |
| Shipments - Canfor-produced SYP lumber (MMfbm) |  | 348 |  | 348 |  | - |

## Operating income up $\$ 19.1$ million to $\$ 75.1$ million in $\mathbf{Q 3}$, after adjusting for one-time $\$ 15.5$ million legal settlement

 gain in Q2- Higher WSPF and SYP unit sales realizations, solid productivity gains
- WSPF sales realizations - 4\% improvement in US-dollar WSPF $2 \times 4$ \#2\&Btr price, more pronounced increases in most other products, $1 \%$ weaker CDN\$
- SYP sales realizations - Improved lumber pricing in $2 \times 6$ and $2 \times 8$ dimensions and premium SYP products more than offsetting $5 \%$ decrease in SYP East $2 \times 4$ benchmark price and decreases in $2 \times 10$ and $2 \times 12$ dimensions
- Completed final phase of acquisition of Scotch \& Gulf Lumber on July 29, 2016, increasing ownership interest from 50\% to 100\%
- Lumber production and shipments in line with Q2
- Unit manufacturing costs slightly higher with positive impact of productivity gains offset by increased market based stumpage and log hauling costs in Western Canada


## Canfor Pulp Products Inc.

Q3 2016 Review: Items Impacting Earnings Comparability
CANF()R

| (millions of dollars, except for per share amounts) | Q3 2016 |  |  |  | Q2 2016 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ |  | \$/Share |  | \$ |  | \$/Share |  |
| Net income, as reported | \$ | 22.4 | \$ | 0.34 | \$ | 2.2 | \$ | 0.03 |
| Non-operating / unusual items (after-tax) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gain on derivative financial instruments | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Net impact of above items | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Net income, as adjusted | \$ | 22.4 | \$ | 0.34 | \$ | 2.2 | \$ | 0.03 |


| (millions of dollars unless otherwise noted) |  | $\begin{array}{r} 03 \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q2 } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | Variance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Sales | \$ | 291.6 | \$ | 257.2 | \$ | 34.4 |
| Operating income (loss) by segment: <br> Pulp <br> Paper <br> Unallocated | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.7 \\ 7.2 \\ (2.9) \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ 5.5 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ | \$ $\$$ $\$$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.9 \\ 1.7 \\ (0.8) \end{array}$ |
| Total operating income | \$ | 31.0 | \$ | 5.2 | \$ | 25.8 |
| Net income, as reported | \$ | 22.4 | \$ | 2.2 | \$ | 20.2 |
| Operating income (loss) before amortization by segment: <br> Pulp <br> Paper <br> Unallocated | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.8 \\ 8.1 \\ (2.9) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.8 \\ 6.4 \\ (2.1) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.0 \\ 1.7 \\ (0.8) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total operating income before amortization | \$ | 50.0 | \$ | 22.1 | \$ | 27.9 |
| Average NBSK pulp list price delivered to U.S. - US\$ Average NBSK pulp list price delivered to U.S. - CDN\$ <br> Production - Pulp (000 mt) <br> Shipments - Pulp (000 mt) | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 998 \\ 1,303 \\ 313 \\ 320 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 980 \\ 1,263 \\ 280 \\ 287 \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 40 \\ & 33 \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ |

## Pulp operating income up $\mathbf{\$ 2 4 . 9}$ million to $\mathbf{\$ 2 6 . 7}$ million

- Shipments and production up $11 \%$ and $12 \%$, respectively: Reflecting return to more normal operating rates following significant scheduled maintenance outages in Q2
- Lower unit manufacturing costs: Principally due to impact of less scheduled maintenance downtime in Q3
- Sales realizations slightly higher: Benefit of a weaker CDN \$ offsetting modestly lower list prices to China, improved BCTMP prices
- Energy revenues higher: Seasonally higher prices and higher power generation in Q3


## Paper operating income up $\$ 1.7$ million to $\$ 7.2$ million

- Modestly higher unit sales realizations reflecting higher-value sales mix offset in part by lower shipment volumes

